## Conference of European Churches Governing Board

Brussels, 22-24 November 2018

٦

<u>Doc N°</u> :	2NGB2018_Doc11d CEC SO - comments and responses - 2nd round
<u>Topic</u> :	Standing Orders
<u>Agenda Item</u> :	11
Staff in charge:	Christian Krieger
Recommendation:	For approval
<u>Annex:</u>	N/A
<u>Earlier docs</u> :	N/A

CEC Standing Orders – Second round of consultations (GB)		
CEC GB	Legal Team	
CEC Draft Standing Orders	CEC Draft Standing Orders	
Comments	Response	
<b>Lea Schlenker</b> §5: The proxy should be from the same church family and region, I propose to add ,,if possible, taking additionally age and gender balances into account" or something like that.	We propose to add this in § 5 (3).	
Lea Schlenker §10: the cross-references to §6 should be checked, finances is §6 V; see also in §11: the GA Planning committee is §6 VII	You are quite right. This has now been corrected.	
Alison McDonald 18:6 " Such networks can be institutionalised and also become part of the working mechanisms of the Conference. The decision to institutionalise a network shall be taken by the Governing Board."		
I am not clear what it means to 'institutionalise" a network. In English to become "institutionalised" often has a negative implication (e.g. a person who spends a long time in hospital can become " institutionalised" meaning losing the ability to be independent and no longer being able to exist outside the structures of the institution). I am not sure of a solution however would something like		
" recognised officially by the Conference " or" incorporated into the work of the Conference" be possible?	We propose to follow your suggestion "recognised officially".	